Message

From: Hurld, Kathy [Hurld.Kathy@epa.gov]

Sent: 12/14/2020 10:24:15 PM

To: Calli, Rosemary [Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov]

CC: Hicks, Matt [Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov]; Nagrani, Kavita [Nagrani.Kavita@epa.gov]; Laycock, Kelly

[Laycock.Kelly@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: Help drafting of RtC response language re: affected parties?

Okay, here is what I'd insert about the legislation:

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

From: Calli, Rosemary < Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov> **Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2020 5:18 PM **To:** Hurld, Kathy < Hurld.Kathy@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Help drafting of RtC response language re: affected parties?

Email is fine and I'll pop it in – I'm tracking where these things go, so that's probably easiest, and I'll maintain formatting as I go.

From: Hurld, Kathy < Hurld.Kathy@epa.gov Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 5:12 PM To: Calli, Rosemary < Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov

Subject: RE: Help drafting of RtC response language re: affected parties?

Just so I'm clear on process, do you want me to respond via email or add to the main RTC document?

From: Calli, Rosemary < Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov > Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 5:01 PM

To: Beck, Whitney < beck.whitney@epa.gov; Creswell, Michael < Creswell.Michael@epa.gov; Ghosh, Mita@epa.gov; Hicks, Matt < Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov; Hurld, Kathy < Hurld, Kathy@epa.gov; Kupchan,

Simma < Kupchan. Simma @epa.gov>; Laycock, Kelly < Laycock. Kelly @epa.gov>; Mcgill, Thomas

<Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov>; Morgan, James <Morgan, James@epa.gov>; Nagrani, Kavita <Nagrani, Kavita@epa.gov>;

Parker, Christopher < Parker, Christopher@epa.gov; Speir, Jeffrey Speir.jeffrey@epa.gov; Wade, Alexis

<Wade.Alexis@epa.gov>

Subject: Help drafting of RtC response language re: affected parties?

Importance: High

In the EPA Resposne for RtC Section D, Jess Kramer flagged the comment below as something she doesn't see addressed in the EPA Response. (Neither do I>) Does anyone have bandwidth to draft a quick response? It's on page 18 of the main RtC doc.

Page 16: A commenter noted that FDEP stated in its submission that the only persons likely to be affected by Florida's assumption are those who are "currently subject to a 404 permit" issued by the Corps. The commenter argued that this response demonstrates that FDEP takes an unduly narrow view of operating a Section 404 program, and as a consequence, has taken an unreasonably limited view of the number of individuals and entities likely to be affected. The commenter concluded that FDEP wholly failed to provide a general description of those who are likely to be affected other than existing permittees.

Rosemary (Hall) Calli Section Chief, Wetlands & Streams Regulatory Section Aquatic Ecotoxicologist U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IV

404.562.9846 Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov